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Issues That May Be Unique To A Hospital/Health Care Library Setting

Well Worth Purchasing “Jumpstart” Implementation

• No training needed
• Guided through design options
• Customizations done by 360i implementation librarians
• Quick and ready for public use in a few months

Medical Titles Missing in the SFX Knowledge database

• No international journal and subscription information
• A few Ovid titles from UWL full collection
• Many of the free Med Journals

Hospital uses a resource called Joint Commission Perspectives

We purchased online access to this resource. SFX knowledge database recognizes the title as, JCAH Perspectives, and that is where the full text link is located. However, our users search for Joint Commission Perspectives, which is the current title name, not JCAH Perspectives.

SFX does not relate the two titles

• Many of the Free Medical Journals
• Many healthcare resources require accessing their native interface to view results and defeats the purpose of owning a metasearch product

Jumprose Implementation

SFX A-Z Pros

• Quick setup
• Reduced staff time management of journals
• Show print holdings
• Multiple search menu interfaces
• Hosted on Ed Lib server
• Embedded search boxes
• Customized logic
• Document ordering feature
• Customization
• Logos, colors, menu wording

SFX A-Z Cons

• Pre-selected titles for health sciences subcategories
• Data journal titles updated monthly
• Many healthcare resources require accessing their native interface to view results and defeats the purpose of owning a metasearch product

MetaLib Pros

• Easy for novice users
• Searches multiple databases at once
• Basic and advanced search functionality
• Shopping cart functionality
• Customization
• Logos, colors, menu wording

MetaLib Cons

• Limited participation from vendors (Up-To-Date, Books@OVID, Micromedex, DxPlain)
• Slow processing time, maximum databases to search=10
• Micromedex, DxPlain)
• Limited participation from vendors (Up-To-Date, Books@OVID, Micromedex, DxPlain)
• Slow processing time, maximum databases to search=10
• Many healthcare resources require accessing their native interface to view results and defeats the purpose of owning a metasearch product
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