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Abstract

The increasing prevalence of stroke, with an estimated annual cost of $71.5 billion, has made it a major health problem that increases disability and death, particularly in patients with atrial fibrillation. Although advanced age and atrial fibrillation are recognized as strong risk factors for stroke, the basis for this susceptibility are not well defined. Aging or associated diseases are accompanied by changes in rheostatic, humoral, metabolic and hemodynamic factors that may contribute more to stroke predisposition than rhythm abnormality alone. Several thromboembolism-predisposing clinical characteristics and serum biomarkers with prognostic significance have been identified in patients with atrial fibrillation. Although anticoagulation decreases the risk of thromboembolism, management in the elderly remains complex due to major concerns about bleeding. New anticoagulants and nonpharmacologic strategies are helpful to reduce the risk of bleeding, particularly in older-elderly patients. Herein, we review the pathogenesis and management of select issues of thromboembolism in the elderly with atrial fibrillation. (J Patient Cent Res Rev. 2016;3:217-229.)

Keywords

aging; warfarin; atrial fibrillation; antiplatelet agents; stroke; novel anticoagulant; atrial appendage occlusion

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common cardiac arrhythmia, primarily affecting the senescent heart. Its increase with age is apparent in the greater prevalence of AF in octogenarians than in patients younger than 55 years old (9%–10% vs 0.1%, respectively, a 100-fold increase).1,3 Thromboembolic stroke is one of the most feared complications of atrial fibrillation. In 2013, there were 6.5 million stroke deaths worldwide, making stroke the second-leading global cause of death behind ischemic heart disease.2 Each year, about 795,000 people in the United States experience a new or recurrent stroke. Approximately 610,000 of these are first episodes; 185,000 are recurrent ones. On average, every 40 seconds, someone in the United States has a stroke.2 Projections show that by 2030 an additional 3.4 million adults will have had a stroke, a 20.5% increase in prevalence from 2012.2 AF is a powerful risk factor for stroke, independently increasing risk about fivefold in those with nonvalvular AF and more than 20-fold in those with mitral stenosis, with a very high risk of recurrent stroke, disability and mortality.5 The percentage of strokes attributable to AF increases steeply from 1.5% at 50–59 years of age to 23.5% at 80–89 years. The average stroke rate was reported at 4.1% per year among the primary prevention studies for AF and 13% per year among those with prior stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA).1 Important risk factors for stroke in the setting of AF include advancing age, hypertension, heart failure, diabetes mellitus, previous stroke or TIA, vascular disease and female sex. Additional circulating biomarkers of fibrosis or cardiac stress, including high levels of troponin and brain natriuretic peptide, increase the risk of stroke in the setting of AF independent of those well-established clinical characteristics.6,7

Women accounted for 58% of U.S. stroke deaths in 2013.2 More women than men die of stroke each year because of the larger number of elderly women. In the setting of AF, women have a significantly higher risk of stroke than men.2,8 The prevalence of stroke survivors
Abnormalities in blood flow and vessels can be related to the presence of structural heart disease or extrinsic interventions such as cardioversion that can lead to atrial stunning, promoting a prothrombotic state mostly within the first 10 days after the cardioversion. Valvular heart disease, especially mitral stenosis, increases the risk of stroke in AF 17-fold. Episodes of AF themselves promote a procoagulant state with an increase in markers of platelet activation (beta-thromboglobulin and platelet factor 4), thrombogenesis (elevated fibrinogen, prothrombin fragment F1 + 2, thrombin-antithrombin complexes, D-dimer levels) and endothelial dysfunction or injury (elevated von Willebrand factor, soluble E-selectin levels), independent of the presence of structural heart disease, increasing the likelihood of thromboembolism. There is a growing need to better understand the mechanisms that underlie aging-associated changes in the cardiovascular system that predispose people to AF and thromboembolism. Active research in these areas has focused on identification of novel therapeutic targets for prevention to reduce the incidence of AF and its associated thromboembolic risk. This overview highlights the pathogenesis and management of stroke and thromboembolism associated with AF in elderly patients.

Pathogenesis of Thromboembolism in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation
Ischemic stroke in patients with AF usually is attributed to embolism from thrombus in the left atrium or the left atrial appendage (LAA), although as many as 25% of strokes may be due to intrinsic cerebrovascular disease. Hypercoagulability, left atrium or LAA stasis, and endothelial injury reinforce the traditional Virchow concept implicated in thrombogenesis. Therefore, thrombogenic states, such as heart failure, diabetes, aging or history of stroke, as well as conditions that can lead to atrial stasis (poorly contracting dilated left atrium, ventricle with spontaneous echocardiographic contrast, LAA sludge, mitral stenosis) or endothelial dysfunction/injury (hypertension, vascular disease) increase the risk of stroke and thromboembolism in patients with AF.
fibrillation]) trial,\textsuperscript{38} and the AF-CHF (Atrial Fibrillation and Congestive Heart Failure)\textsuperscript{39} trial demonstrated that AF patients who had a high risk of thromboembolism did no better when treated with a strategy of pharmacologic rhythm control than did AF patients treated with rate control in regard to reducing overall or cardiovascular mortality, hospitalization or risk of stroke.

Regardless of approach (rhythm vs rate control), these findings indicate that maintenance of a therapeutic level of anticoagulation is essential to reduce the risk of thromboembolism in AF patients at high risk of stroke.\textsuperscript{40} In addition, the type of AF (paroxysmal, persistent or permanent) or the absence of AF symptoms is not predictive of thromboembolism.\textsuperscript{1} More than one-third of patients with a history of AF treated with rhythm control alone may have recurrent paroxysmal AF that can be completely asymptomatic while still increasing the risk of thromboembolism.\textsuperscript{41} Recent data in patients with implantable loop recorder or cardiac devices indicate that even AF episodes lasting for 6 minutes can increase the risk of thromboembolism,\textsuperscript{42} and prolonged monitoring of the rhythm in those with cryptogenic stroke may reveal asymptomatic AF in up to 30\% of the patients.\textsuperscript{43} Therefore, prophylactic intervention to reduce stroke risk should be considered in all AF patients at high risk for stroke.

### Risk Assessment for Stroke in AF Patients

The CHADS\textsuperscript{2}, CHA\textsubscript{2}DS\textsubscript{2}-VASc and HAS-BLED scoring systems (Table 1) are widely used to calculate the risk of thromboembolism and bleeding, respectively, in patients with nonvalvular AF.\textsuperscript{5,25,44} The CHADS\textsubscript{2} risk assessment system, developed after analysis of ischemic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHADS\textsubscript{2} score\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>HAS-BLED score\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>CHA\textsubscript{2}DS\textsubscript{2}-VASc score\textsuperscript{a}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Factor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Points</strong></td>
<td><strong>Factor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Age &gt; 65 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 75 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bleeding history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stroke</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Labile INRs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs or alcohol abuse</td>
<td>1 each</td>
<td>Vascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal renal or liver dysfunction</td>
<td>1 each</td>
<td>History of stroke, TIA, thromboembolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total score</strong></td>
<td><strong>Risk of stroke (%)/year</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total score</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>6–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHF, congestive heart failure; INR, international normalized ratio; TIA, transient ischemic attack.


\textsuperscript{b}Center column was adapted from Pisters et al. A novel user-friendly score (HAS-BLED) to assess 1-year risk of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation: the Euro Heart Survey. Chest. 2010;138:1093-100.
stroke risk among patients with nonvalvular AF, assigns a score of 1 for congestive heart failure, hypertension, age ≥ 75 years and diabetes mellitus, respectively, and a score of 2 for prior stroke/TIA or thromboembolism. There is an increase in stroke rate of approximately 2% for each 1-point increase in CHADS\(_2\) score, ranging from 1.9% with a score of 0 to 18.2% with a score of 6. \(^{1,45}\)

The CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc score assigns 1 point each to congestive heart failure, hypertension, age 65–74 years, diabetes mellitus, female sex and vascular disease and 2 points each to prior stroke/TIA or thromboembolism and age > 75 years. It is considered the preferred tool to assess the embolic risk in patients with nonvalvular AF. \(^{25,46}\) A CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc score of 2 or greater represents a high risk for stroke that requires initiation of anticoagulation.

An important safety concern with use of antithrombotic agents is the increased risk of major bleeding, requiring hospitalization, transfusion or surgery, or intracranial hemorrhage, which is associated with increased mortality and disability. The risk of intracranial hemorrhage is about 0.4% per year, which is lower than the risk of ischemic stroke in the majority of AF patients with CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc score ≥ 2, if not anticoagulated. To quantify hemorrhage risk, several bleeding risk scores have been published, including HAS-BLED, which is based on the presence of hypertension, abnormal renal or liver function, history of stroke, bleeding predisposition, labile international normalized ratios (INRs), elderly age (> 65 years), or use of excess alcohol or drugs that promote bleeding. \(^{1,5,47-49}\) A score of 3 or higher indicates a “high risk” for bleeding that may require closer monitoring of anticoagulation and potential adverse effects. Chronic kidney disease, although not included in the CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc or CHADS\(_2\) risk prediction models, is a strong predictor of stroke risk as well as bleeding in patients with AF, \(^{18}\) therefore consideration for anticoagulation requires careful risk/benefit assessment.

**Pharmacological Strategies for Risk Reduction of Stroke in AF**

Anticoagulation with either warfarin (INR of 2.0 to 3.0) or one of the novel oral anticoagulants (NOACs) such as dabigatran, rivaroxaban, apixaban or edoxaban reduces the risk of ischemic stroke or thromboembolism by almost two-thirds in patients with nonvalvular AF and should be considered in high-risk patients with a CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc score of 2 or greater. \(^{1,25,27,33}\) Antiplatelet agents alone are not very effective in reducing the risk of stroke (19% reduction [95% confidence interval: 1%-35%]) \(^{1,20,26}\) and most studies, with the exception of the Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation-1 trial, revealed no significant benefit in stroke reduction, especially in the older-elderly (age > 75 years). \(^{1}\) Anticoagulants are associated with an increased risk of bleeding; therefore, the risk-to-benefit ratio needs to be carefully considered, especially in those at relatively low risk for thromboembolism, such as those with a CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc score less than 2. In patients with a CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc score of 1 with a reported risk of ischemic stroke varying from <1% to 2.7% per year, no antithrombotic therapy or anticoagulation could be recommended depending on the presence of risk factors, with the highest risk in those 65–74 years old and with diabetes. \(^{18,21}\) For very low-risk patients (CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc score of 0), anticoagulant therapy usually is not recommended and clinical judgment is required to make an individualized decision. \(^{1}\)

Despite the proven effectiveness of warfarin and NOACs in the prevention of stroke in high-risk patients, anticoagulants are underused for the prevention of stroke in elderly patients with AF. \(^{30}\) In a recent study, common contraindications to the use of anticoagulation in patients ≥ 75 years old were prior bleed (32%), patient refusal (25%), frequent falls or frailty (25%), high bleeding risk (20%), need for dual antiplatelet therapy (9%), unable to adhere (5%), prior intracranial hemorrhage (5%), comorbid illness (5%) and allergy (2%). \(^{30}\) In elderly patients with multiple comorbidities and contraindications for anticoagulation, despite a CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc score necessitating anticoagulation (i.e. greater than 1), a goals-of-care discussion may be warranted.

**Role of Warfarin**

Evidence for the efficacy of warfarin, a vitamin K antagonist that affects clotting factors II, VII, IX and X, in risk reduction for stroke in patients with AF at moderate to high risk for thromboembolism comes from randomized control trials conducted in the 1990s. These trials demonstrated a significant two-thirds reduction in the incidence of clinical stroke at an acceptable risk of bleeding compared to placebo or the antiplatelet agent aspirin. \(^{1,35}\) In addition, compared to no anticoagulant therapy, the use of warfarin reduces the severity of stroke and stroke mortality. \(^{19}\) The relative risk reduction with warfarin in stroke patients was greater in patients with a higher risk for stroke (rates of > 6% per year).
In the general population, anticoagulation with warfarin reduced the risk of stroke from >4.5% per year to about 1.5% per year. The bleeding risk (1%–3% per person-year) is higher during the initiation phase, with concomitant illnesses affecting warfarin pharmacokinetics or use of medicines or supplements that affect hemostasis. The annual risk for intracranial hemorrhage with warfarin in AF patients in recent studies is low (0.2% to 0.4% per year) but is still up to two times higher than the risk for nonanticoagulated patients (0.2%).

Increasing age, prior stroke, hypertension and an INR > 3 are the most important predictors of major bleeding. For high-risk patients younger than 75 years old, a prothrombin time with an INR range of 2 to 3 is safe and effective. For older patients (> 75 years), a lower target INR level (2 to 2.5) could be considered with close monitoring to reduce the likelihood of bleeding. Maintaining the therapeutic range of anticoagulation with warfarin is challenging due to its narrow therapeutic index, which is affected by various drug–drug and drug–food interactions as well as chronic comorbidities or acute illnesses that can reduce the time in the therapeutic range to 50%–65%.

In addition, variability within the population in the expression of genes involved in warfarin metabolism (CYP2C9) and/or activation (VKORC) impacts warfarin dosing, especially at initiation, leading to a hypercoagulant state and risk for bleeding.

The optimal approach to a patient with an elevated INR and warfarin-associated coagulopathy depends on the degree of INR elevation, ongoing bleeding and its location (e.g. intracranial), and the underlying thrombotic risk. In patients with serious or life-threatening bleeding or those requiring an urgent surgery, rapid and full reversal of warfarin’s effect is required with intravenous vitamin K or 4-factor prothrombin complex concentrate administration. Whereas, in those with no or minor bleeding, warfarin can be held without administration of a reversal agent, especially if the underlying thrombotic risk is particularly high.

**Novel Oral Anticoagulants: Alternatives to Warfarin**

To overcome some of the shortcomings of warfarin, several newer oral anticoagulants have been developed with a more predictable pharmacokinetic profile that avoids the need for routine monitoring of anticoagulation and has fewer drug–food interactions; these are expected to improve adherence to and persistence with an anticoagulant regimen by the elderly. However, renal function, bleeding and adherence still need to be monitored in these patients, NOACs are divided into those that directly inhibit thrombin or those with an inhibitory effect on clotting factor Xa. The United States has approved dabigatran (a direct thrombin inhibitor) and rivaroxaban, apixaban and edoxaban (factor Xa inhibitors) for risk reduction of stroke in patients with nonvalvular AF. These NOACs have been compared to warfarin in randomized controlled trials and were found to be noninferior in risk reduction of stroke, with a statistically significant reduced risk of major, especially intracranial, bleeding in the elderly. The risk of gastrointestinal bleeding was higher with rivaroxaban than with warfarin. The initial evidence of increased risk of myocardial infarction in patients on rivaroxaban seen in the RE-LY trial was not confirmed in subsequent analysis of large observational studies. Patients with prosthetic heart valves, rheumatic valve disease, mitral stenosis or on protease inhibitors or other drugs with a strong modulating effect on P-glycoprotein or cytochrome 3A4 are not candidates for NOACs, and warfarin remains the preferred anticoagulant.

Predominant renal excretion remains a major limitation for the use of NOACs in the elderly population. Drugs like dabigatran and edoxaban cannot be used in patients with advanced renal impairment (e.g. stage 4 or 5 chronic kidney disease with creatinine clearance < 15 mL/min) and require dosage adjustment for those with moderate renal impairment (glomerular filtration rate < 60 mL/min/1.73 m²). Further research is needed to determine the utility and safety of NOACs in elderly patients with chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease. Limited information is available about the efficacy and safety of these agents in the older-elderly, but apixaban and edoxaban appear to have a lower rate of bleeding compared to warfarin. No head-to-head comparison between the new anticoagulants in the elderly or those with moderate to severe renal impairment has been performed. Further research in defining the safety and efficacy of NOACs is needed.
The anticoagulant effect of the approved NOACs occurs and declines rapidly; therefore, the recommended dosage regimen needs to be closely followed to avoid any thromboembolic complications resulting from

with a significant reduction of stroke and major bleeding, including hemorrhagic stroke and overall mortality. The meta-analysis also indicated a trend toward reduced major bleeding.60

### Table 2. Characteristics and Outcomes of Novel Anticoagulant Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial size</td>
<td>N=18,113</td>
<td>N=14,264</td>
<td>N=18,201</td>
<td>N=21,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median follow-up</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>1.9 years</td>
<td>1.8 years</td>
<td>2.8 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>71.5 years</td>
<td>73 years</td>
<td>70 years</td>
<td>72 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CHADS2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of action</td>
<td>Oral direct thrombin inhibitor</td>
<td>Oral direct factor Xa inhibitor</td>
<td>Oral direct factor Xa inhibitor</td>
<td>Oral direct factor Xa inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life</td>
<td>12–17 hours</td>
<td>5–13 hours</td>
<td>9–14 hours</td>
<td>10–14 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria</td>
<td>Nonvalvular AF + 1 risk factor</td>
<td>Nonvalvular AF + 2 risk factors</td>
<td>Nonvalvular AF + CHADS2 ≥ 1</td>
<td>Nonvalvular AF + CHADS2 ≥ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Dabigatran 110 mg b.i.d.</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban 20 mg daily</td>
<td>Apixaban 5 mg b.i.d.</td>
<td>Edoxaban 30 mg daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparator</td>
<td>Open-label warfarin</td>
<td>Blinded warfarin</td>
<td>Blinded warfarin</td>
<td>Blinded warfarin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary efficacy</td>
<td>Stroke or systemic embolism</td>
<td>Stroke or systemic embolism</td>
<td>Stroke or systemic embolism</td>
<td>Stroke or systemic embolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary safety</td>
<td>Major bleeding</td>
<td>Major bleeding + clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding</td>
<td>Major bleeding</td>
<td>Major bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke/systemic embolism</td>
<td>1.71% warfarin</td>
<td>2.4% warfarin</td>
<td>1.6% warfarin</td>
<td>1.5% warfarin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.54% dabigatran 110 mg</td>
<td>2.1% rivaroxaban</td>
<td>1.27% apixaban*</td>
<td>1.61% edoxaban 30 mg*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.11% dabigatran 150 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.18% edoxaban 60 mg*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major bleeding</td>
<td>3.5% warfarin</td>
<td>3.4% warfarin</td>
<td>3.09% warfarin</td>
<td>3.43% warfarin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.87% dabigatran 110 mg*</td>
<td>3.6% rivaroxaban</td>
<td>2.13% apixaban*</td>
<td>1.61% edoxaban 30 mg*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.32% dabigatran 150 mg*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.75% edoxaban 60 mg*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial hemorrhage</td>
<td>0.74% warfarin</td>
<td>0.7% warfarin</td>
<td>0.8% warfarin</td>
<td>0.85% warfarin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.23% dabigatran 110 mg*</td>
<td>0.5% rivaroxaban*</td>
<td>0.33% apixaban</td>
<td>0.26% edoxaban 30 mg*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3% dabigatran 150 mg*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39% edoxaban 60 mg*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>0.64% warfarin</td>
<td>1.1% warfarin</td>
<td>0.61% warfarin</td>
<td>0.75% warfarin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.82% dabigatran 110 mg</td>
<td>0.9% rivaroxaban</td>
<td>0.53% apixaban</td>
<td>0.70% edoxaban 30 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.81% dabigatran 150 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.89% edoxaban 60 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental cost per QALY gained (vs warfarin)57,58</td>
<td>$66,354 – dabigatran 110 mg</td>
<td>$55,757</td>
<td>$24,312</td>
<td>$67,320 – edoxaban 30 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$20,797 – dabigatran 150 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$46,393 – edoxaban 60 mg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05.

QALY, quality-adjusted life-year.
inadequate anticoagulation. If a dose of dabigatran or apixaban with a twice-daily regimen is missed, the forgotten dose can be taken up to 6 hours after the scheduled ingestion; if missed for longer than 6 hours, the missed dose should be skipped and the regularly scheduled dose taken on time. If more than one dose was taken within the period of 6 hours, the planned dose at 12 hours should be skipped with resumption of the regular dose at the next scheduled time. For rivaroxaban with once-daily administration, the missed dose can be taken up to 12 hours later, or skipped after 12 hours, with resumption of the next scheduled dose. For double dosing, rivaroxaban can be continued at the regular interval without skipping the next dosage. If a dose of edoxaban is missed, the dose should be taken as soon as possible on the same day. Dosing should resume the next day according to the normal dosing schedule. The dose should not be doubled to make up for a missed dose. Patient education about nonadherence, risk of stroke or overdose, risk of bleeding, potential side effects and drug–food interactions is especially important in the elderly.

Reversal Agents for NOACs
Lack of readily available reversal agents for the anticoagulant effect of NOACs was one of the serious concerns that recently has been addressed. Idarucizumab (Praxbind), a specific antibody against dabigatran, completely reverses the anticoagulant effect of dabigatran within minutes and has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for this purpose. Andexanet, a recombinant modified human factor Xa decoy protein, reverses the anticoagulant activity of apixaban and rivaroxaban within minutes after administration and for the duration of infusion, without evidence of clinical toxic effects. This drug is currently under investigation and is not yet FDA-approved for use as a reversal agent.

Drug–Drug Interactions With NOACs
All NOACs are substrates of the P-glycoprotein transport system and are thus susceptible to drug interactions with both inhibitors and inducers of this system (Table 3). In addition, rivaroxaban undergoes hepatic transformation primarily via the cytochrome P-450 system (CYP), which includes both the 3A4 and 2J2 families of enzymes. Due to the involvement of CYP3A4, plasma concentrations of rivaroxaban can become elevated or reduced in the presence of strong inhibitors or inducers. Changes in plasma concentrations are most pronounced when rivaroxaban is administered with medications that affect both CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein, which can result in increased or decreased anticoagulation depending on the interacting agent. Similar to rivaroxaban, apixaban is a substrate for both CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein, making it susceptible to numerous drug–drug interactions, particularly with agents that affect both pathways. Edoxaban is also a substrate of cytochrome P-450 3A4 (CYP3A4). There is an increased risk of bleeding associated with concurrent use of NOACs with other anticoagulants, antiplatelet agents and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Novel Nonpharmacological Strategies to Reduce Thromboembolism
Most patients with AF at high risk of stroke based on the CHA2DS2-VASc score should be therapeutically anticoagulated to reduce said risk. However, the risk of bleeding with anticoagulants remains high in the elderly and other patients with unstable gait, a history of intracranial or severe recurrent gastrointestinal bleed, or thrombocytopenia or coagulation defects. This risk could be unacceptably high, highlighting the need for approaches to reduce the risk of stroke without increasing the risk of bleeding. Based on observations that left atrial clots are localized to the LAA more than 90% of the time, surgical excision of the LAA or mechanical devices that occlude or exclude the LAA from circulation by ligating its opening into the left atrium have been developed for reduction in thromboembolic risk.

Surgical Excision or Transcutaneous Occlusion/Isolation of Left Atrial Appendage: In AF patients undergoing open heart surgery for mitral valve or the Maze procedure for AF, surgical ligation, occlusion or amputation of the LAA has been performed without any added morbidity and with reduction in the risk of thromboembolism as compared to those without this procedure. However, incomplete closure of the LAA has been documented in a large number of patients who continue to be at risk for thromboembolic events. Stand-alone thoracoscopic left atrial appendectomy in patients with AF and prior thromboembolism who had contraindications to oral anticoagulation demonstrated the feasibility of this approach along with reduction in
Surgical exclusion of LAA using the AtriClip device (AtriCure Inc., Mason, OH) also can be performed successfully in patients undergoing open chest surgery or using a right mini-thoracotomy incision. Findings from the LAAOS (Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion Study) pilot study demonstrated the safety and feasibility of LAA occlusion during cardiac surgery; and the efficacy of this approach is being tested in a large randomized trial (LAAOS III) in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. A 59% risk reduction of stroke compared with the expected rate based on CHAD\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc score and a 61% risk reduction of bleeding compared with the expected rate based on HAS-BLED score was demonstrated in observational studies of the Amplatzer™ Cardiac Plug and second-generation Amplatzer Amulet™ endovascular LAA occluder devices (St. Jude Medical Inc., St. Paul, MN), not yet approved by the FDA. The Amplatzer cardiac plug has received European regulatory approval and is available for clinical use in Europe.

Various percutaneous approaches for LAA occlusion have been developed utilizing a transfemoral transseptal puncture to enable device implant into the LAA or a hybrid transseptal and epicardial approach for LAA closure. Among these, the Watchman™ device (Boston Scientific Corp., Marlborough, MA), a self-expandable nitinol cage deployed in the LAA using a...
transseptal approach, was assessed in two randomized trials (PROTECT AF and PREVAIL) in patients with nonvalvular AF eligible for oral anticoagulation (CHADS\textsuperscript{2} score > 1).\textsuperscript{79,80} A comparable efficacy and safety of the Watchman device to warfarin for the prevention of stroke and systemic embolization was demonstrated in PROTECT AF.\textsuperscript{79} In the follow-up PREVAIL trial, in high-risk patients with nonvalvular AF, noninferiority of LAA occlusion to warfarin anticoagulation was not achieved for the overall efficacy (composite of stroke, systemic embolism and cardiovascular/unexplained death); however, noninferiority was demonstrated for ischemic stroke prevention or systemic embolism > 7 days postprocedure.\textsuperscript{80} Based on these results, the Watchman device was approved by the FDA for patients with nonvalvular AF for whom long-term anticoagulation is indicated and who are able to tolerate warfarin for at least 6 weeks after device implantation. The use of Watchman in high-risk AF patients ineligible for oral anticoagulation was tested in ASAP (Aspirin Plavix Feasibility Study With Watchman Left Atrial Appendage Closure Technology), a prospective, multicenter, nonrandomized study that demonstrated a lower incidence of stroke or systemic embolism compared to those taking aspirin or clopidogrel.\textsuperscript{81}

The Lariat\textsuperscript{®} (SentreHEART Inc., Redwood City, CA), an LAA exclusion device currently being used “off-label” in the United States for AF patients, consists of a pre-tied suture enclosed in a closed snare that is deployed transcutaneously using a hybrid transfemoral catheter-based endocardial and epicardial approach.\textsuperscript{82} In the U.S. Transcatheter LAA Ligation Consortium’s retrospective assessment of 154 patients, the procedural success rate was 86% with major complication rate of 9.7%, including major bleeds and serious pericardial effusions.\textsuperscript{83} Cases of death; cardiac laceration, perforation or LAA detachment from the heart; bleeding; or cardiac tamponade have been reported in a safety communication by the FDA.\textsuperscript{84} The long-term effectiveness of the Lariat with respect to reducing stroke or its safety relative to other approaches needs to be defined in randomized controlled trials.\textsuperscript{82}

In patients with AF who have a high risk of stroke and contraindication to long-term anticoagulation, percutaneous LAA closure by the Watchman device\textsuperscript{6} or surgical excision at the time of cardiac surgery\textsuperscript{3} are reasonable options to reduce the risk of stroke in lieu of taking long-term anticoagulation. Since a significant proportion of strokes in AF patients may originate from aortic arch plaques, the risk of stroke with LAA occlusion may persist; in select patients, carotid diveters aimed at diverting emboli from intracranial circulation may be helpful but require further investigation.\textsuperscript{82}

**Atrial Ablation of AF Substrate:** Per the current guidelines, AF catheter ablation is useful for symptomatic paroxysmal AF refractory or intolerant to at least one class I or III antiarrhythmic medication when a rhythm-control strategy is desired.\textsuperscript{1,85} AF catheter ablation to restore sinus rhythm should not be performed with the sole intent of obviating the need for anticoagulation,\textsuperscript{1} especially since the long-term efficacy of radiofrequency catheter ablation in reducing the risk of stroke, particularly in elderly patients with multiple risk factors, is not known.

Radiofrequency catheter ablation of the left atrium has been shown to effectively maintain a majority of patients in sinus rhythm and promote atrial reverse remodeling with a decrease in left atrial volumes and dimensions as well as an improvement in contractility.\textsuperscript{86,87} In a study of AF patients mostly younger than 65 years old, of whom 56% had one or more risk factor for stroke, successful ablation of the left atrium was associated with discontinuation of anticoagulation in patients with or without risk factors for stroke (except for those older than 65 years old or with a history of stroke).\textsuperscript{88} A thromboembolic event rate of 1.1% within 2 weeks of ablation emphasized the need for anticoagulation for at least 3 months after a procedure, which would facilitate atrial remodeling with a return to sinus rhythm, thereby potentially reducing the risk of thromboembolism. A meta-analysis of eight randomized controlled trials comprising 844 patients showed that radiofrequency catheter ablation decreased atrial tachyarrhythmia recurrence by 71% (P<0.00001). Fewer complications and adverse events were reported in the ablation group compared to the control group.\textsuperscript{89} Despite these preliminary results, anticoagulation with warfarin or NOACs should be continued in patients at high risk for thromboembolism (i.e. CHA\textsubscript{2}DS\textsubscript{2}-VASc score ≥ 2).

Surgical ablation also aims to eliminate AF by the use of atrial incisions that prevent propagation of arrhythmia.
while preserving the sinus node and atrial contractility. The Cox Maze procedure ablates the atrial substrate for AF and removes the LAA to reduce risk of stroke by maintaining sinus rhythm and removing the major site for thrombus formation within the heart.\textsuperscript{90,91} Despite promising findings of surgical follow-up studies, prospective, randomized, multicenter trials are needed to obtain definitive evidence for the most effective treatment approach, energy source for ablation, specific areas of ablation and the true success rate in reducing risk of stroke and AF recurrence.\textsuperscript{1}

**Summary**

With the aging of the U.S. population and a projected increase in the prevalence of atrial fibrillation in Americans from about 2.3 million in 2006 to more than 15 million by the year 2050, AF is a major public health problem.\textsuperscript{92-94} Advances in our understanding of the aging-associated changes that form the substrate of AF and thromboembolism in the elderly remain inadequate to fully promote the design of safe and effective strategies to prevent AF and reduce the associated risk of stroke. Many recognized markers imply a risk of thromboembolism in AF patients, yet the specific mechanisms that initiate and maintain a thrombotic state with AF remains to be fully understood. Regardless of treatment approach (either rate or rhythm control), anticoagulation is of paramount importance in decreasing the risk of thromboembolism in high-risk patients. Both warfarin and newer oral anticoagulants are effective in reducing the risk of thromboembolism in patients with nonvalvular AF but continue to carry risks of bleeding that limit their use in select elderly patients, particularly those with renal impairment. Further studies comparing newer anticoagulation in select patient populations are needed to define specific clinical situations in which one anticoagulant offers a superior benefit-to-risk profile relative to other available treatments.

The management of elderly patients predisposed to thromboembolism mandates addressing the difficult problem of balancing the risk of bleeding with long-term anticoagulation against its proven benefit in reducing stroke risk. Nonpharmacological strategies are limited, not applicable to all patients and require further investigation to prove their equivalence or superiority to anticoagulants in reducing the risk of thromboembolism or other complications in the elderly. Age alone should not be the limiting factor for anticoagulation, which should be considered in all patients unless clearly contraindicated.\textsuperscript{12} Further research is needed to identify initiating factors and critical mediators of thromboembolism and to delineate pathways altered by aging that potentiate the risk for AF and thromboembolism.\textsuperscript{11,95} With better patient risk-stratification and the design of more appropriate therapeutic strategies, AF-associated thromboembolic risk could be further reduced in elderly patients.

**Patient-Friendly Recap**

- Atrial fibrillation (AF) is an abnormal heart rhythm that greatly increases an individual’s risk for suffering a stroke.
- To prevent this, many patients with AF take anticoagulant medication such as warfarin.
- The authors report the current state of evidence regarding ways to prevent stroke in elderly patients with AF, who often have complex conditions for which use of anticoagulants may raise the risk of bleeding.
- They concluded anticoagulation is still the most proven treatment for AF in patients at high risk of stroke, but elderly patients should be more closely monitored to avoid potentially dangerous bleeding incidents.
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