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In this issue of the *Journal of Patient-Centered Research and Reviews*, we find three articles concerning patients with diabetes mellitus. Homco et al report on implementation of patient-reported outcome measures among type 2 diabetics in two diverse primary care clinics. Functional limitations, particularly regarding physical function and pain, were identified within this patient population. Rabaut proposes home-delivered medically tailored meals for type 2 diabetics who are food insecure (ie, “food as medicine”). And the focus of this editor’s message is diabetic gastroparesis, a topic comprehensively reviewed in this issue by a United Kingdom-based authorship group led by gastroenterologist, and JPCRR editor, Adam Farmer.

In introducing their review, Farmer and colleagues comment on the problem of underrecognition of gastroparesis in the diabetic population and the fact that hospitalizations for this entity have been increasing. A recent study also documented an increase in emergency department visits for both nondiabetic and diabetic gastroparesis, the latter increase presumably due to the increase in prevalence of diabetes itself.

As a primary care physician, I wonder how many of these cases we are missing. The literature is not entirely clear. The oft-cited community study of gastroparesis in Minnesota’s predominately white Olmstead County (1996–2006) revealed a prevalence of proven diabetic gastroparesis of 0.6% and of definite, probable, or possible disease of 2.9%. Examining a cohort assembled from this same county in the mid-1990s, the cumulative prevalence of gastroparesis was estimated at 5.2% in type 1 diabetics, 1.0% in type 2 diabetics, and 0.2% in nondiabetic control subjects. The authors concluded that other causes of upper gastrointestinal symptoms should be sought first in diabetic patients. These authors did note that a previous study reported presence of delayed gastric emptying in diabetic patients of up to 65%; however, it was suggested that because that patient population was pulled from referral centers, such high prevalence was the result of selection bias.

Samsom et al reported a 28% prevalence of delayed gastric emptying among 186 “unselected” diabetic patients; however, this study took place in a university medical center in the Netherlands, which typically manage a higher proportion of complex patients. Interestingly, it was stated in a recent review article (without cited reference) that gastroparesis “usually occurs 10 years after the onset of diabetes,” whereas Samsom et al found no association between the rate of gastric emptying and duration of diabetes in their mixed type 1/type 2 diabetic population.

The concern regarding “missing” gastroparesis cases is not new. Another study conducted in Olmsted was informative. Rey and colleagues performed regression modeling on data from 450 patients referred for a scintigraphic gastric emptying test and a questionnaire mailed to a random sample of county residents. The estimated prevalence of delayed gastric emptying, based on their model, was 1.8% in community subjects, a good deal more than their previously calculated prevalence of diagnosed gastroparesis, 0.02%. Presumably, the estimated community prevalence of gastroparesis would be higher among diabetic patients.
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So, considering the pervasiveness of the problem, what should primary care clinicians do about “missing” diabetic gastroparesis cases in their own patient panels? The first step, of course, is ensuring appropriate documentation when known. This is an issue that goes far beyond this specific disease entity,11 but there are likely instances in which clinicians may be fully aware of, even treating, gastroparesis in diabetic patients without having overtly documented the condition in the medical record as a separate clinical problem. That is not to say every patient with some degree of delayed gastric emptying merits a gastroparesis diagnosis, as a significant number of patients with confirmed delayed gastric emptying have no or minimal symptoms.8 From a clinical perspective, we are much less concerned about these individuals. In fact, it would not be appropriate to “create a disease state” for those patients not bothered by delayed gastric emptying.

What we do wish to prevent is overlooking the patient who is suffering with gastroparesis symptoms in silence, not only for their symptomatic well-being but also to better inform any diagnostic or treatment conundrums, such as pseudodyslipidemia caused by an artificial increase in lipid levels resulting from delayed gastric emptying.12

Potentially, neither the diabetic patient nor the clinician may present or inquire about gastroparesis symptoms, particularly in the common ambulatory clinical setting of 10–20-minute visits, multiple problems to address besides diabetes,11 and standard office testing and health metrics screenings. In all this tangle, system reviews may become rote (ie, electronic “box checking”) and time for extra discussion limited.

In addition, gastrointestinal complaints may indeed stem from (or be assumed to stem from) some other common cause or be confused with gastrointestinal symptoms from a common diabetic medication like metformin. There may be other explanation for similar symptoms. For example, bloating, usually with later diarrhea, may be caused (unbeknownst to both patient and clinician) by the sorbitol or other polyalcohol sugars in some artificially sweetened products.13 (I learned this, in part, from my diabetic father who learned this, in part, from my diabetic father who learned this, in part, from my diabetic father who learned this, in part, from my diabetic father who learned this, in part, from my diabetic father who learned this, in part, from my diabetic father) by the sorbitol or other polyalcohol sugars in some artificially sweetened products.8 Patients themselves can make assumptions regarding the etiology of their gastroparesis symptoms and therefore not bring them up in clinical settings.

As challenging, yet simple, as doing so may be, clinicians must query diabetic patients regarding nausea and vomiting, early satiety, and bloating. If these symptoms emerge, effective diagnosis and treatment, as discussed by Farmer and colleagues,3 may be undertaken to alleviate diabetic gastroparesis in our patients. Perhaps then they will be able to better enjoy their medically tailored meals.2
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