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In this issue of the Journal of Patient-Centered Research and Reviews (JPCRR), an article by Floyd and colleagues (see p. 98) describes patient-reported willingness to pay (WTP) for treatment to restore their chronically diseased knee to ideal function. The mean U.S. dollar amount for patients from a single orthopedic practice in South Carolina, as measured in 2018, was $18,704. The total WTP amount generally increased with higher income category, as anticipated. Subjects reported a mean WTP of $291 for each 1-unit improvement on a 100-point percentage of normal scale regarding their knee.

My fascination with measurements such as WTP prompted a distinctly nonsystematic sampling of WTP literature from developed countries to see what I could glean. Intuitively, WTP should vary with patient perception of their symptoms or disability, the value they place on them, their level of total or disposable income, cultural and demographic factors, competing priorities, and personal philosophy. Indeed, most of these assumptions are borne out. Interestingly, WTP does not appear to correlate with quality-adjusted life-year measurements, at least in some studies. Adjusting for exchange rate when necessary, and for inflation from the time period in each study to 2018 U.S. dollars, I calculated hypothetical WTP (out of pocket) from a sample of 13 survey-format studies for a variety of medical procedures or cures.

Conditions, Treatments

Continuing with the subject tackled by Floyd et al, namely relief for symptoms of knee osteoarthritis, a 2012 study of 2073 subjects in 5 European countries found WTP of $49 per course of corticosteroid joint injections and $89 per course of viscosupplement injections. WTP amount correlated with level of disposable income. An earlier study from Texas found race/ethnicity differences, which explained 21%–30% of the variation in log WTP for improvement in arthritic knee symptoms. This study reported that White (non-Hispanic), Hispanic, and African American subjects expressed WTP at 52%, 37%, and 28% of their mean income values, respectively, for relief of severe osteoarthritis. This compares to 4.5%, 7.1%, and 25.5%, respectively, of mean income for a week’s vacation, and 3.1%, 3.7%, and 10.0%, respectively, to have their house painted. Once individual characteristics and income were controlled for, there were no statistical differences in WTP between the 3 race/ethnicity groups for nonhealth expenditures. Controlling for income differences between groups, log WTP remained significantly different for White vs African American subjects but not for White vs Hispanic subjects.

A study of 40 psoriasis patients in Massachusetts (45% with income of ≤$54,500) found mean WTP for hypothetical cure to be $2422 for physical and emotional relief and $757 for ability to sleep. A 2008 study of 197 members of an Australian self-help group had an average WTP of $5540 (including 20% who expressed a WTP as high as $29,157) for complete resolution of tinnitus.

Gan and colleagues studied WTP regarding intra- and postoperative complications of subjects in North Carolina. Median WTP for a monitor to avoid intraoperative awareness among 60 individuals was $47, given a baseline incidence of 5 out of 1000 cases. Median WTP for a completely effective postoperative antiemetic was $82 ($146 if vomiting had been experienced in a previous postoperative state). In the 80-subject study population, WTP increased for those with higher income, with history of postoperative nausea or vomiting, who placed more individual importance on those symptoms, and who were married.

Screenings and Tests

Regarding screening tests and medical decision-making, a multisite national study found WTP for a web-based decision support system among 248 men with newly diagnosed localized prostate cancer was $27 above usual care. WTP was significantly associated with income,
marital status, stage of decision-making, and starting “bid” in the iterative bidding survey. A study of WTP for predictive tests without immediate treatment implications among 2223 U.S. adults ranged from $126 to $304.8 WTP varied with income, the particular disease, whether the test was “perfect” or “imperfect,” and study-measured risk-taking behavior (lower risk-taking individuals were less likely to want a test).

A 2012 vignette-based study of 3469 patients in the United Kingdom (64% with annual income of ≤$42,650) regarding cancer screening in primary care revealed WTP amounts of $643 for colorectal, $584 for lung (chest X-ray), and $638 for pancreatic screening.16 Only lung screening showed a variation in WTP according to pretest probability.

Chronic Disease
Hypothetical WTP for a subject treatment visit (if not provided at no cost) as part of a patient-centered blood pressure control research study set in southeastern Michigan was found to be $27 in a pilot of 38 subjects.17 Massachusetts parents of 638 children 2–12 years of age were queried regarding WTP for continuing in a pediatric weight management program.5 A minority (31%) of parents indicated WTP to receive enhanced primary care for this purpose and would pay a median of $21 per month; 45% had WTP for enhanced primary care plus individual coaching (median WTP of $29 per month).

Tangential to the relative dearth of articles on WTP for programs aimed at helping control chronic medical problems in the United States are a pair of European studies. After having attended an educational program, 202 German patients expressed WTP of $23–$61 per month to attend a primary care-based health educational program (no correlation with income).18 Internet questionnaire results from 461 Swedish patients with type 2 diabetes revealed a WTP of $25 per month to lose 1 kg of weight, $60 per month to decrease hypoglycemic episodes from 3 to 1 per month, and $81 per month to avoid nausea completely.19

I have known patients with disabling, severely painful arthritis and unrelenting tinnitus, thus the relatively high WTP in these subject populations is not surprising.1,2,14 It would certainly be hard for me personally to put a price on relief of some acute symptoms. All debate about third-party payment aside, as a primary care physician, I was struck by the apparent lower WTP for treatment of chronic diseases.5,17-19 I have always struggled to know how to push the asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic potential killers (hypertension, chronic kidney disease, etc) higher up a patient’s priority list.

In Charles Dickens’ *A Christmas Carol*, Ebenezer Scrooge changes his miserly attitude only after three ghosts show him the error of his ways. I sometimes wonder if a visit from “the ghost of chronic disease future” would impact patients more than any clinical words of wisdom. As for the here and now, how do we adequately educate our patients regarding risk of life-changing events?

Submissions to *JPCRR* addressing effective patient education on the value of chronic disease management would be most welcome.
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